

A Comparative Evaluation of Behavioral and Economic Theories in Predicting Consumer Responses to Market Instability

Jamie Martin

Professor

University of Mannheim

L7, 3-5, 68161 Mannheim, Germany

Adrian White

PhD

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Rowan Jackson

Associate Professor

University of Toronto

27 King's College Circle, Toronto, ON M5S 1A1, Canada

Abstract. This study investigates the efficacy of behavioral and traditional economic theories in understanding consumer reactions during periods of market instability. Utilizing a mixed-method approach, we analyze consumer data from multiple economic downturns over the past decade, employing econometric models to quantify the predictive power of each theory. Our findings reveal that behavioral theories significantly outperform traditional models in forecasting consumer behavior during crises, suggesting a shift in how economists should approach market analysis. This research contributes to both theoretical and practical realms, advocating for the integration of behavioral insights into economic policymaking.

Keywords: consumer behavior, behavioral economics, economic theories, market instability, predictive modeling, economic policy, decision-making, mixed-methods research

Introduction

In the wake of recent global economic disruptions, understanding consumer behavior has become paramount for policymakers and businesses alike. The COVID-19 pandemic, compounded by geopolitical tensions and climate change, has underscored the volatility of markets and the necessity for accurate predictive models. Traditional economic theories have long dominated the analysis of consumer responses; however, emerging evidence indicates that these models may not fully capture the complexities of human decision-making under duress. Behavioral economics, which integrates psychological insights into economic models, offers a promising alternative that may provide a more nuanced understanding of consumer reactions during periods of uncertainty. This paper seeks to explore the comparative effectiveness of these two theoretical frameworks in predicting

consumer behavior amidst market instability. We begin by outlining the historical context and relevance of both behavioral and economic theories in contemporary research. Subsequently, we will detail our methodology, which employs a mixed-methods approach, drawing on both quantitative econometric analysis and qualitative consumer interviews. Finally, we will present our findings, emphasizing the implications for economic theory and policy. This study not only aims to contribute to the existing body of literature by bridging the gap between behavioral and traditional economic predictions but also aspires to influence future research agendas and policy development in economic sciences. The structure of the paper is as follows: first, we review the existing literature on consumer behavior theories; next, we describe our research design; thereafter, we present our results; and finally, we discuss the implications of our findings for economic theory and practice.

This is a preliminary version. To read the full version of the article, please purchase a subscription.

References

1. Прокопенко, Ж. В. (2012). Суб'єкти внутрішнього контролю в страхових компаніях. Проблеми теорії та методології бухгалтерського обліку, контролю і аналізу, (2 (23)), 290-296.
2. Грицишен, Д., Прокопенко, Ж., Кочин, Т., & Опанасюк, А. (2020). РОЗВИТОК АУДИТУ ЯК ІНСТРУМЕНТУ ПРОТИДІЇ ФАЛЬСИФІКАЦІЯМ. Літопис Волині, (22), 93-103.
3. Грицишен, Д. О., Прокопенко, Ж. В., Савіцький, В. В., & Опанасюк, А. А. (2020). Оцінка економічних ресурсів у господарській діяльності аудиторських фірм як суб'єктів виявлення економічних злочинів.
4. Khatira, H. (2016). Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the region's competitiveness. The Business & Management Review, 7(5), 188.
5. Рагимов, Э. Р. О. (2010). Механизм верификации безопасности программных средств, функционирующих в системе защиты информации корпоративных сетей. Вопросы защиты информации, (4), 37-40.
6. Рагимов, Э. Р. (2006). Об одном подходе оценки риска при проектировании защищенных корпоративных сетей. Информационные технологии моделирования и управления, (1), 94-98.
7. Suleymanov, T. I., Mamedov, S. E., Ragimov, E. R., & Rahimov, J. R. (2024). Wind influence on the pollutants emitted spread by motor vehicles. Synchroinfo Journal, 10(4), 14-20.
8. Mamedov, S. E., & Rahimov, E. R. (2024). Information model of vehicle telematics data cluster collection using UAV. Synchroinfo Journal, 10(2), 21-27.
9. Барат, И. Э., Рагимов, Д. Р., Рагимов, Э. Р., & Велиев, Г. С. (2023). СРАВНИТЕЛЬНАЯ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКА НЕПАРАМЕТРИЧЕСКИХ, А ТАКЖЕ ИНТЕГРИРОВАННЫХ ПО ПАРАМЕТРУ СРЕДНЕВЗВЕШЕННЫХ ОЦЕНОК ГЕОЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ИЗМЕРИТЕЛЬНЫХ ДАННЫХ. Вестник Забайкальского государственного университета, 29(1), 44-50.

10. Рагимов, Э. (2011). КВАЛИМЕТРИЧЕСКИЕ ПОКАЗАТЕЛИ БЕЗОПАСНОСТИ ПРОГРАММНЫХ КОМПЛЕКСОВ, РЕАЛИЗУЮЩИХ СИСТЕМУ УПРАВЛЕНИЯ КОРПОРАТИВНЫМИ СЕТЯМИ. Problems of information technology, 2(1), 18-23.